UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

|  |             |                      |                     | GOVERNA A MICHAEL |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO.  |
| 10/665,142   | 09/22/2003  | Isao Kakuhari        | 2003_1330A          | 5803              |
| WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P. 2033 K STREET N. W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021 |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                   |
|  |             |                      | LAO, LUN S          |                   |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER      |
|  | ,           |                      | 2615                |                   |
|  |             |                      |                     | *                 |
|  |             | •                    | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE     |
|  |             | ,                    | 12/31/2007          | PAPER             |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Applicant(s) Application No. 10/665,142 KAKUHARI ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2615 Lun-See Lao All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Lun-See Lao. (3)\_\_\_\_\_ (4) (2) Mr. Joseph M Gorski. Date of Interview: 17 December 2007. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 25 and 35. Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed claimed limitation of the invention and firgures 14-15 and 17 and claims 25 and 35 are under 112 second paragraph rejection, Suggesstion was given by the examiner as how to overcome the 112 second paragraph rejection. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER. TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Zm - 202 QW

Examiner's signature, if required

XU MEI PRIMARY EXAMINER